1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	WESTERN DIVISION			
11	JAVELLE WALTON,)	Case No. LA CV 11-07798-VBF-AJW	
12	Petitioner,		ORDER	
13	Ź	į		
14	V.)	Adopting the Report and Recommendation; Denying the Habeas Petition for Lack of Merit;	
15	BRENDA CASH, WARDEN,		Directing Entry of Final Judgment by Separate Document	
16	Respondent.	_)		
17				
18	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) subsection c, the Court has reviewed the entire record in this			
19	and authorities (CM/ECF Document ("Doc") 1), the respondent's answer and accompanying memo and lodged documents (Docs 16-17), the January 30, 2015 Report & Recommendation of the U.S. Magistrate Judge ("R&R") (Doc 27), and the applicable law. Petitioner neither filed objections to the R&R within the time allowed nor sought an extension of time in which to do so.			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	The R&R [Doc #27] is ADOPTED without objection.			
26	The habeas corpus petition [Doc #1] is DENIED for lack of merit. This action is DISMISSED with prejudice.			
27				
28				

Jayne v. Sherman, 706 F.3d 994, 1009 (9th Cir. 2013).1 Said judgment will be final, but it will not be appealable until and unless petitioner obtains a certificate of appealability from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.² Valerie Baker Fairbank DATED: April 17, 2015 Valerie Baker Fairbank Senior United States District Judge "To comply with Rule 58, an order must (1) be self-contained and separate from the opinion; (2) note the relief granted; and (3) omit or substantially omit the district court's reasons for disposing of the claims." Elkins v. Foulkes, 2014 WL 2615732, *14 n.4 (C.D. Cal. June 12, 2014) (quoting Daley v. USAO, 538 F. App'x 142, 143 (3d Cir. 2013) (per curiam) (citation omitted)). "FED. R. APP. P. 22(b)(1) provides in pertinent part that 'if the district judge has denied the certificate, the applicant may request a circuit judge to issue the certificate." Elkins, 2014 WL 2615732, *14 n.5 (quoting Rule and citing Silva v. Woodford, 279 F.3d 825, 832 (9th Cir. 2002)

("[W]e are empowered to issue a COA pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 22(b)(1) and [28 U.S.C.] §

2253(c)(1).")).